

Original Research Article

<https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2026.1506.009>

Incidence of *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* Contamination in *Clarias lazera*, *Tetraodon fahaka* in Fish Marketing at Khartoum State

D. H. Enas¹, M. M. Maha^{2*}, M. A. Abdalla¹, A. S. Ali²,
K. O. Abderahman² and Ramzy A. Yousif^{id}²

¹Sudan University of Science and Technology- College of Veterinary Medicine, Sudan

²Sudan University of Science and Technology- College of Animal Production and Technology, Sudan

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

Keywords

Escherichia coli,
Salmonella Spp,
Microbial
contamination,
marketing, *Clarias
lazera*, *Tetraodon
fahaka*, Freezing

Article Info

Received:
10 April 2026
Accepted:
28 May 2026
Available Online:
10 June 2026

This study was carried out to assess the isolation and identification of contaminated bacteria *E. coli* and *salmonella spp* in fresh fish in two markets at Khartoum state. Fishes are a sensitive food which had a rapid rate of spoilage if they were not handled properly. The action of spoilage begins immediately after rigor mortis. When fish is caught, the subsequent steps of handling play great role on keeping quality and safety of fish. Two hundred of swab samples were collected, 100 sample from Central market and 100 samples from Al-Mawrda market, each 100 samples were divided into, 50 samples type 1 (*Clarias Lazera*), 50 samples type 2 (*Tetradon Fahaka*), which were taken from five critical control points, fish skin, fish flesh, hands of labors, knives and tables of cutting. Then preserved in freezer at -18C° for (0, 14, 28 days). The isolates were two types of gram negative bacteria, *E. coli* and *salmonella spp*. Bacteriological analyses were performed using accredited methods, which showed highest contamination with *E. coli* recorded in central market 48 (80. 0) % in hands of labors, for type (1) *clarias lazera* 39 (65. 0) % in (fish skin and hands of labors) and for type (2) (*Tetradon fahaka*) 39 (65. 0) % in (fish flesh and knives). However, the highest contamination with *Salmonella* reported in Al-Mawrda market, in fish skin 40 (66. 7) %. The storage period was not affected significantly on samples contamination with either *E. coli* or *Salmonella*.

Introduction

Fish which is the water bodies covers about two third of the earth surface (FAO, 1995). Estimates indicate that about 96% of fish capture in Sudan, comes from fresh water fisheries, of which 55% is from the white Nile,

Blue Nile, Atbara River and luck Nubia (Carleton and Pena, 1982) Fish and fishery products are highly nutritious, in addition to the high percentages of animal protein, they provide several other nutrients such as vitamins A and B especially in the liver, and E and K vitamins, and they are good sources of some minerals

like calcium, phosphorus and iron (Lunven, 1982). Sandon (1953) reported that, in Sudan *Clarias* could survive for a relatively long period buried in the mud. The Family *Tetraodonitdae* owes its name to the world "Tetraodon", which in Greek means "four teeth". Fishes family possess characteristic jaws where in the teeth are fused into a beak-like dental plate with a median suture on each jaw (Talwar and Jhingran, 1991)

The HACCP system was introduced in 1971 during the National food protection conference. Sea food processors must keep in mind that HACCP does not replace GMPs or guard against fraudulent practices (Russell *et al.*, 2004). Microorganisms can be used as an indicator of environmental pollution because they affect and influence the surrounding environment (Hans *et al.*, 2003 and Ali *et al.*, 2015).

To develop a better understanding of the microbiological problems associated with seafood processing and packaging, it became extremely necessary to apply the hazard analysis critical control point (HACCP) strategy. HACCP strategy identifies hazards associated with different stages of processing, packaging and handling, assesses the relative risk and identifies points where to control measures would be effective (Bryan, 1988; Ehiri *et al.*, 2001; Oranusi *et al.*, 2003).

Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points (HACCP) is a systematic preventive approach to food safety and pharmaceutical safety that addresses physical, chemical, and biological hazards as a means of prevention rather than finished product inspection. HACCP is used in the food industry to identify potential food safety hazards, so that key actions, known as Critical Control Points (CCP's) can be taken to reduce or eliminate the risk of the hazards being realized. The system is used at all stages of food production and preparation processes including packaging, distribution, etc. The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) use mandatory juice, seafood, meat and poultry HACCP programs as an effective approach to food safety and protecting public health. Meat and poultry HACCP systems are regulated by the USDA, while seafood and juice are regulated by the FDA. The use of HACCP is currently voluntary in other food industries (FSIS, 2007; USFDA, 2007; FSRIO, 2008).

Pathogenic bacteria associated with fishery product can be categorized into three main groups: (i) the indigenous

bacteria that belong to the natural micro-flora of fish (*e. g.*, *Clostridium botulinum*, pathogenic *Vibrio spp.*, *Aeromonas hydrophila*); (ii) the enteric bacteria that are present due to fecal contamination (*e. g.*, *Salmonella spp.*, *Shigella spp.*, pathogenic *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*); and (iii) the bacteria which contaminate during processing, storage or cooking (*e. g.*, *Bacillus cereus*, *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Clostridium perfringens*, *Salmonella spp.*). (Lyhs, 2009)

Escherichia coli is the most widely used bacteria as an indicator of sanitation because of these bacteria are commensal bacteria in the digestive and are pathogens. Based on identification process to several fishery products refers to (Indonesian National Standard number 01-2332. 1. (Jakarta: National Standard Institute).

Salmonella belongs to the *Enterobacteriaceae* family, characterized as a non sporulate gram-negative bacillus. It is routinely classified by serotype, based on the expression of three types of antigens: (O) somatic, (H) flagellar (vi) capsular, according to the Kauffmann-White scheme (Brasil, 2011). *Salmonella* infections in humans include mainly typhoid fever and these infections are commonly called as enteric fever which continues to be one of the most serious public health problems worldwide. The presence of higher level of *Salmonella* in fish causes several symptoms in human body like diarrhea, nausea, vomiting and abdominal pain Wyatt *et al.*, 1979, Suliman (2002) noted that when the marketing areas are near to the production area, high quality fish are offered for sale.

Freezing kill, some but not all of the microorganism present, and growth will take place after thawing if time permits. Fish carry floras storage and may be grow and product toxin when temperatures increase. With the advent of mechanical refrigeration, sharp freezing was employed and fish were (glazed). Ice with added salt was employed in older days. Quick freezing is applied to wrapped fillets or streaks, although whole smaller fish may be frozen (Fraizer, 1987). The freezing process partially or completely hinders disruptive microbiological or enzymatic reactions, nevertheless, it cannot repair damage already caused. The fish should be frozen after handling for a better quality. The great advantage of the freezing process is the ability to achieve stability without damaging the initial quality. The spoilage in fish can be reduced by maintain low temperature and good handling during unloading fish.

Therefore, this research was carried out to detect the isolation of *Salmonella spp* and *E. coli* from two fish markets at Khartoum State in Sudan, and the effect of freezer storage on *Salmonella spp.* and *E. coli* contamination.

Materials and Methods

Isolation and identification of *Salmonella* and *E. coli* were done by the conventional method that includes screening and biochemical tests.

Sampling

A total of 200 swab samples were taken randomly from Al-Mawrda and central fish market for *Tetradon fahaka* and *Clarias lazera*

The collected swab samples were cultured. All plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. The media used were Dexoycholate Citrate Agar for *Salmonella spp.* colonies, MaConkey Agar: for *Escherichia coli* Colonies.

Statistical analysis

The data was reported as means of triplicate \pm Standard Deviation (SD). For statistical analysis, the differences between the fish markets fish species, risk factor data were assessed using the SPSS 11.5 at a degree of significance $P < 0.05$. The difference between the storage durations has been determined by analysis of variance (ANOVA).

Results and Discussion

Fish is considered one of the most perishable foods, mainly due to the action of the bacteriological activity that occurs on the surface of the newly caught fish. In fish technology, the microbiological control is an essential for controlling the quality of raw fresh and other ingredients used in order to produce the final fishery products has being safe for the consumers and having good quality Gerasimov and Antonova, (1979) and ACPSFPI (2001). Microbial growth due to improper handling, long gap between harvesting and processing and poor storage conditions (Gram and Huss, 2000).

E. coli is an indicator of fecal contamination in small numbers and in large numbers it is an indicator of mishandling (Eze et al., 2011). It is the only species in the coliform group that is found in the human intestinal

tract and in the other warm blooded animals as a commensal and is subsequently excreted in large quantities in feces (Geldreich, 1983), Lobna and El-Newishy (2010). These contamination of fresh water fish with *E. coli* is indicator of fecal pollution of fresh water from which fish were harvested and or from subsequent un sanitary handling during catching, distribution and marketing practices of fish.

In this study as shown in Table 1, the highest contamination with *E. coli* recorded in hands of labors 48 (80.0) %, the lowest in fish flesh at Central market 27 (45.0) %. On the other hand highest contamination with *E. coli* recorded at Almawrda in skin fish 36 (60.0) %, the lowest in hands of labors 18 (30.0) %, which indicated poor hygiene in the central market compared with the Almawrda market.

Concerning types of fish, the highest numbers of *E. coli* for type (1) reported in skin of fish and hands of labors, the lowest in fish flesh, while for type (2), the highest contamination reported in fish flesh and knives and the lowest in hands of labors.

Imam et al., (2022) estimated the count of *E. coli* through the fish production chain in port Sudan and found the highest count of *E. coli* in the second stage (fish scaling) (7.58×10^2 CFU). Tilahun and Engidawork (2020) reported the isolation of *E. coli* from 11 of 131 (8.4%) swab samples from the muscles of different species of fresh fish samples in Hawassa. The incidence of *Escherichia spp.* isolated from catfish fillets were 25%, 15%, 5% and 5% for African catfish fillet samples, bayad fillet samples, white basa fillet samples and red basa fillet samples, Noha et al., (2023)

Claucas et al., (1996) suggested that when present in food, pathogens such as *S. aureus*, *Salmonella*, *Shigella* and *Pseudomonas* are most likely to cause food-borne diseases, Stringent regulations and monitoring activities coupled with food safety training of suppliers (fishermen and traders) and ultimately the consumers on various aspects of Good Hygiene Practice (GHP), Good Manufacturing Practice (GMP) and HACCP is strongly recommended. Also found About 56.3% of the mat samples collected and 38.2% of the suspected isolates have been tested *Salmonella* positive. On the other hand, 75% of the collected samples and 36.4% suspected isolates respectively were *E. coli* positive. Overall results revealed that there was no control to maintain bacteriological quality of fish markets.

The highest numbers of *Salmonella ssp* in this study, recorded in the central market in fish skin 34 (57. 7) %, while the lowest found in knives 10 (16. 7) %. Al-Mawrda market recorded the highest number of *Salmonella* in knives 35 (58. 3) % and the lowest in skin fish and tables 24 (40. 0) %.

As for the two types of fish, highest numbers of *Salmonella spp.* for type (1) reported in fish skin 40 (66. 7) % while the lowest in hands of labors 21 (35. 0) %, however, type (2) recorded the highest number in hands of labors 33 (55. 0) % and the lowest one in fish flesh and knives 24 (40. 0) %..

The rate of spoilage occurs varies with species of fish, handling method, hygienic condition and chilling storage method. (Nanto *et al.*, 1993). *Salmonella* was examined qualitatively, 16% of yellowfin tuna, 19% of sailfish, 8% of sardine and 8% of shrimp showed the positive results, but none of the squid samples show the positive results. Aquatic environments are the major reservoirs of *Salmonella* and fish and shellfish appears to be passive carriers of *Salmonella spp.* Therefore, fishery products have been recognized as a major carrier of foodborne pathogens (Upadhyay *et al.*, 2010, Novotny *et al.*, 2004). Tesfaye, s. *et al.*, (2018) reported the finding of salmonellae in 5. 17% of the skin, gills, and intestine of fish samples from Lake Haike in northern parts of Ethiopia.

The isolation of *Salmonella*, *Shigella*, and *E. coli* indicate fecal and environmental pollution Yagoub (2004). Incidences of *Salmonella* species and *E. coli* in the fresh, raw fillet samples were 30% and 35%, respectively found by (Fasika, A. *et al.*, 2023).

As for fish markets, fish samples collected from different fish markets of Dinajpur district were contaminated with *Salmonella* and *E. coli* except one markets of Kaharol Upazilla. About 48. 9% of the samples collected and 28. 6 % of all the suspected isolates were tested *Salmonella* positive. On the other hand 75. 6% of all samples collected and 35. 8% of all the suspected isolates were tested *E. coli* positive Faridullah, *et al.*, (2019).

David *et al.*, (2009) collected ten fish from open-air markets and found *E. coli* (50%), *S. typhimurium* (20%), *S. paratyphi* (10%) and *S. typhi* (20%). Mhango *et al.*, (2010) Hossain and Barman, (2016) found, high microbial contamination of the fishes in retail markets that agree with the results in this research and it may be

due to no or delay in icing, rough handling, compactness, lack of sanitation, contaminated water, contaminated ice, soiled surfaces and boxes.

El-olemy (2014) revealed that, the higher number of bacterial isolates were recovered from skin surface while muscles showed lower number of isolates at percentages of 3. 8% and 2. 3% for *O. niloticus* and 6. 8% and 3% for *C. gariepinus* respectively.

Shabarinath *et al.*, (2007) found *Salmonella* in 18. 9% of naturally contaminated shrimp samples and 21. 4% of crab, clam, mussel and oyster samples. 100 fish and shellfish samples obtained from the market and fish-landing center in India, where *Salmonella* was detected in 70% of fish, 59% of shrimp and 30% of oyster samples. *Escherichia coli* was detected in 1. 4, 1. 5, and 5. 9% of trout, salmon, and tilapia, respectively.

El-olemy (2014) found *C. gariepinus* samples had a significantly higher bacterial isolates (9. 8%) than *O. niloticus* samples (6%). Among the isolated bacteria *Streptococci* was detectable at higher percentage (13. 5%) followed by *Salmonella spp* (11. 5%), then *Staph. aureus* (4. 5%) and the lowest isolates were *E. coli* (2%). Two types of pathogenic bacteria *Salmonella spp.* and *Escherichia coli* were investigated and were more present on frozen tilapia from North region in Malawi (Bwanamudogo, 2022)

Freezing is a common practice in the meat, fish and other animal protein based industry, because it preserved the quality for an extended time and offers several advantages such as insignificant alterations in the product dimensions, and minimum deterioration in products color, flavor and texture (Obuz and Dikeman, 2003). The first and simplest method to both preserve and process fish is to keep it cool. Cool fish keeps longer than uncooled fish, although both will spoil in a matter of hours (Tawari and Abowei, 2011).

In this study storage period was not affected significantly on contamination with each *Salmonella* and *E. coli* at different risk factors for the two types *Clarias lazera* and *Tetradon fahaka* of fish at the two markets Central and Al-Mawrda, that differed from Can (2011) who established initial contamination of carp fillets with 3. 88 log cfu/g and increase in microbial counts during storage. Mahmoudzadeh, *et al.*, (2010) reported that, burgers from *P. elevatus* and *S. undosquamis* had low number of microorganisms at the end of storage period (-18 °C).

Table.1 Relationship between studied risk factors and contamination with *E. coli* for fish species in Central and AL-Mawrda fish Markets

| Risk factor | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------|----------|---------------------|----------|---------------------|----------|---------------------|----------|---------------------|----------|
| Fish skin | | | Fish flesh | | Knives | | Hands of labors | | Tables | |
| | No. of positive (%) | P. value | No. of positive (%) | P. Value | No. Of positive (%) | P. Value | No. of Positive (%) | P. Value | No. of positive (%) | P. Value |
| Fish source (markets) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Central | 33 (55. 0) | 0. 580 | 27 (45. 0) | | 46 (76. 7) | | 48 (80. 0) | | 34 (56. 7) | 0. 853 |
| Al-Mawrda | 36 (60. 0) | N. S | 33 (55. 0) | 0. 237 | 26 (43. 3) | 0. 000** | 18 (30. 0) | 0. 000** | 35 (58. 3) | N. S |
| Fish species | | | | | | | | | | |
| Type1 | 39 (65. 5) | 0. 097 | 21 (45. 0) | 0. | 33. (55. 0) | 0. 264 | 39 (65. 0) | 0. 028* | 36 (60. 0) | 0. 580 |
| Type2 | 30 (50. 0) | N. S | 39. 65. 0) | 001** | 39 (65. 0) | N. S | 27 (45. 0) | | 33 (55. 0) | N. S |

Type 1 = *Clarias Lazera*

Type 2 = *Tetradon Fahaka*

**=Significant difference at P<0. 01

*= Significant difference at P<0. 05

N. S=No significant differences

Table.2 Effect of freezer storage on risk factors contamination with *E. coli*

| Risk factor | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------------|--------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------------|----------|
| Fish skin | | | Fish flesh | | Knives | | Hands of labors | | Tables | |
| | No. of positive (%) | P. Value | No. of positive (%) | P. Value | No. of positive (%) | P. Value | No. of positive (%) | P. Value | No. of positive (%) | P. Value |
| Storage period (days) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 23 (57. 0) | | 20 (50. 0) | | 25 (62. 5) | | 22 (55. 0) | | 22 (55. 5) | |
| 14 | 23 (57. 0) | 1. 000 N. S | 20 (50. 0) | 1. 000 N. S | 23 (57. 5) | 0. 901 N. | 22 (55. 0) | 1. 00 N. S | 23 (57. 0) | 0. 903 |
| 24 | 23 (57. 0) | | 20 (50. 0) | | 24 (60. 0) | | 22 (55. 0) | | 24 (60. 0) | |

N. S=No significant differences

Table.3 Relationship between risk factors and contamination with *Salmonella* for fish species in Central and Al-Mawrda fish markets

| Risk factor | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Fish skin | | | Fish flesh | | Knives | | Hand of labors | | Table | |
| | No. of positive (%) | P. Value | No. of positive (%) | P. Value | No of positive (%) | P. Value | No. of positive (%) | P. Value | No. of positive (%) | P. Value |
| Fish source (markets) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Central | 34 (57. 7) | | 31 (46. 7) | | 10 (16. 7) | | 12 (20. 0) | | 30 (50. 0) | |
| Al-Mawrda | 24 (40. 0) | 0. 200 ^{NS} | 27 (45. 0) | 0. 201 ^{NS} | 35 (58. 3) | 0. 000** | 24 (70. 0) | 0. 000** | 24 (40. 0) | 0. 271 ^{NS} |
| Fish species | | | | | | | | | | |
| Type1 | 40 (66. 7) | | 24 (40. 0) | | 24 (40. 0) | | 21 (35. 0) | | 27 (45. 0) | |
| Type2 | 31 (50. 0) | 0. 200 N. S | 21 (35. 0) | 0. 001* | 21 (35. 0) | 0. 572 N. S | 33 (55. 0) | 0. 028* | 27 (45. 0) | 1. 000 N. S |

Type 1= *Clarias lazera*.

Type 2 = *Tetradon fahaka*

**=significant different at p<0. 01 * = significant different at p<0. 05 N. S=No significant differences

Table.4 Effect of freezer storage on risk factors contamination with *Salmonella*

| Risk factor | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------|------------|---------------------|----------|---------------------|----------|---------------------|----------|---------------------|----------|
| Fish skin | | Fish flesh | | | Knives | | Hand of labors | | Table | |
| | No. of positive (%) | P. Value | No. of positive (%) | P. Value | No. of positive (%) | P. Value | No. of positive (%) | P. Value | No. of positive (%) | P. Value |
| Storage period | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 21 (52. 5) | | 21 (52. 0) | | 12 (30. 0) | | 18 (45. 5) | | 21 (52. 5) | |
| 14 | 20 (50. 0) | 0. 584 | 17 (42. 5) | 0. 967 | 17 (42. 5) | 0. 474 | 18 (45. 5) | 1. 000 | 17 (42. 5) | 0. 493 |
| 24 | 20 (50. 0) | N. S | 17 (42. 5) | N. S | 16 (40. 0) | N. S | 18 (45. 5) | N. S | 16 (40. 0) | N. S |

NS=No significant differences

Means with different superscript letters in the same column significantly different at $p < 0. 05$.

(El-Sherif *et al.*, 2011) found that the total bacterial count (TBC) of raw Mullet fish during storage period at -18°C were 2. 01, 4. 32, 3. 05 and 2. 65 \log_{10} cfu /g sample after 0, 60, 120 and 180 days of storage, respectively, while TBC of raw Tilapia fish were 2. 35, 5. 75, 4. 15 and 2. 95 \log_{10} cfu /g sample after the same periods of frozen storage.

Microbial contamination causes fish deterioration and leads to the end of its shelf-life when reaches levels between 10^7 and 10^9 cfu/g-1 (Scheleguedaa *et al.*, 2016)

Thatcher and Clark (1973) reported that, the kind and number of microorganisms found on frozen fish is affected with the source of the fish, additional contamination introduced in the fishing boat, freezing temperature during storage, the severity of freezing process, contamination of handlers and market sellers, therefore, great attention to handle fish in more hygienic ways to keep up to the microbiological standard of the use of fishing equipment which often serves as a route of contamination of frozen fish and storage environment.

Arannilewa S. T, *et al.*, (2006) found total bacterial increase $3. 0 \times 10^3$ - $5. 6 \times 10^4$ - $6. 5 \times 10^4$ - $6. 7 \times 10^4$ - $4. 0 \times 10^6$ - $7. 5 \times 10^6$ in 0day to 60 days respectively the increasing total coliform counts of fish is best before frozen storage and that quality of frozen fish is better achieved in the first ten days of storage. Ohalete *et al.*, (2011) who isolated 20% of *Salmonella* spp. from frozen fish samples. Wendwesen Teka (2015) Finding 42. 5% of frozen raw Nile tilapia fillet samples carried *E. coli*.

So, we could clarify and recommend the following preventive and corrective hygienic measures, to be employed, to avoid enter pathogens contamination and

ensure safety of freshwater fish to be fit for human consumption: Prevention of sewage drain into fresh water, to prohibit the main source of contamination.

- Application of obligatory hygienic training programs, personal hygiene and good hygienic practices, for fishermen and fish sellers - periodical hygienic inspection of fish markets to ensure perfect cleaning and removal of waste, continual upgrading of hygienic certificates for sellers - awareness of customers for hygienic practices in handling.

The study revealed that high microbial contamination with *E. coli* and *Salmonella* spp were frequently found in markets.

This phenomenon is caused by poor icing, rough handling, lack of sanitation, contaminated water and ice, surfaces and containers. Poor hygiene practices followed during marketing help to make fish highly hazardous food. Fish preservation and processing is a very important aspect for the fisheries

Recommendations

- Fish should not be put on the ground during marketing, susceptible to air and dust, and use approved containers with covers to avoid contamination.

- Applying strict laws to organize and monitors fish handling activates in fish markets.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful appreciation to all the staff of the college of Veterinary Medicine.

Author Contributions

D. H. Enas: Investigation, formal analysis, writing—original draft. M. M. Maha: Validation, methodology, writing—reviewing. M. A. Abdalla:—Formal analysis, writing—review and editing. A. S. Ali: Investigation, writing—reviewing. K. O. Abderahman: Resources, investigation writing—reviewing. Ramzy A. Yousif: Validation, formal analysis, writing—reviewing.

Data Availability

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethical Approval Not applicable.

Consent to Participate Not applicable.

Consent to Publish Not applicable.

Conflict of Interest The authors declare no competing interests.

References

ACPSFPI (2001), Advisory Committee on Plant Schemes in Food Processing Industries. Annual Report on American Food Industrial at the web side <http://www.yahoo.com>.

Ali, S. M.; Yones, E. M.; Kenawy, A. M.; Ibrahim, T. B. and Abbas, W. T. (2015). Effect of El-Sail Drain Wastewater on Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) from River Nile at Aswan, Egypt. *Journal of Aquaculture Research & Development* 6: 294. <https://doi.org/10.4172/2155-9546.1000294>.

Arannilewa S. T., Salawu, S. O., Sorungbe, A. A., and Ola-Salawu, B. B (2005). Effect of frozen period on the chemical, microbiological and sensory quality of frozen tilapia fish (*Sarotherodon galiaenus*). *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 4 (8), 852–855 <https://doi.org/10.4314/ajb.v4i8.15196>

Brasil (2011). Ministério da Saúde. Secretaria de Vigilância em Saúde. 2011. Manual técnico de diagnóstico laboratorial de *Salmonellaspp.*:

diagnóstico laboratorial do gênero *Salmonella* / Ministério da Saúde. Secretaria de Vigilância em Saúde, Fundação Oswaldo Cruz.

- Bryan F. L (1988). Risks of practices, procedure and processes that lead to outbreak of food-borne disease. *J. Food Prot.* 51: 663-673.
- Bwanamudoge I, Kapute F, Lina A, Mbalassa M, and, Singini, W (2022) quality of fresh frozen tilapia from selected supermarkets in Malawi *Afr. J. Food. Agric. Nutr. Dev.* 2022; 22 (4): 20085-20101.
- Can, O. P. (2011). Evaluation of the Microbiological, Chemical and Sensory Quality of Carp Processed by the Sous Vide Method. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology* 5: 1060-1065.
- Carleton, C. R. C and Pena, S. (1982). Sudan fish marketing and processing Rome FAO reported (FIDP/79N).
- Claucas, I. J., Ward, A. R. (1996). Post-harvest Fisheries Development: A Guide to Handling, Preservation, Processing and Quality. Charthan Maritime, Kent ME4 4TB, United Kingdom. Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology. 6th Edition 1948. The Williams and Wilkins Co., Baltimore.
- David O. M, Wandili S, Kakai R and Waindi E. N (2009), Isolation of *Salmonella* and *Shigella* from fish harvested from the Winam Gulf of Lake Victoria, Kenya, *J Infect Developing Countries* 3: 099-104.
- Ehiri J. E, Azubuiké M. C, Ubaonu C. N, Anyanwu E. C, Ibe K. M, Ogbonna M. O (2001). Critical control points of complementary food preparation and handling in Eastern Nigeria. *Bulletin of World Health Organization.* 79: 423-433
- El-olemy, G. M., Lobna, M. A. Salem., Nashwa, O. Khalifa and Mona, S. Abd wahab (2014) Detection of some bacterial zoonosis in market fish in qalyoubia province and their control *Benhaveterinarymedicaljournal*, vol, 26, no. 2: 126-136, june.
- El-Sherif, S. A.; Ibrahim, S. M. & AbouTaleb, M. (2011). Relationship between frozen pre-storage period on raw Tilapia and Mullet fish and quality criteria of its cooked products. *Egyptian J. Aquatic Res.*, 37 (2): 183-189
- Eze, E. I., Echezona, B. C. & Uzodinma, E. C. (2011) Isolation and identification of pathogenic bacteria associated with frozen mackerel fish (*Scorpaenidae*) in a humid tropical environment. *Afr. J. Agric. Res.* 6 (7), 1918–1922 (2011).

- Faridullah, Ava. A, M. U. J. Lithi and V. C. Roy (2019) Incidence of *Salmonella* and *Escherichia coli* in fish farms and markets in Dinajpur, Bangladesh. *Bangladesh J. Sci. Ind. Res.* 55 (1), 65-72, 2020
- Fasika Admasu, Abraham Mikru, Kassaye Balkew, and Million Adane (2023) Microbial Profile of Fresh and Semicooked Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) and Hygienic Practice of Fish Handlers in Hawassa, Ethiopia
- Food and Agriculture Organization FAO, (1995) Quality and change in fresh fish. FAO fisheries Tech pap 348, Iss No. 429. 9345.
- Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) (2007). Microbiological Hazard Identification Guide for Meat and Poultry Components of Products Produced By Very Small Plants". Retrieved on 14 October.
- Food Safety Research Information Office (2008). FSRIO "A Focus on Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points". Created June 2003, Updated March 2008.
- Frazir, W. C. (1987). Food Microbiology. 3rd edn, New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Geldreich, E. E. (1983). Bacterial populations and indicator concepts in feces, sewage, storm water and solid wastes. In: G. Berg (Ed), Indicators of viruses in water and food. Ann Arbor Science Publishers, Inc., Orlando, Fla. 183, pp. 51-97.
- Gerasimov, G. V. & Antonova, M. T. (1979). Techno-chemical control in fish processing industry. Published by Gubal Primlani. Co. Prt. Ltd, New York.
- Gram L, Huss H. H. (2000). Fresh and processed fish and shellfish. The Microbiological Safety and Quality of Foods. Applied Microbiology 67, 472-506.
- Hans, W. P.; Dyble, J.; Moisaner, P. H.; Noble, R. T.; Piehler, M. F.; Pinckney, J. L.; Steppe, T. F.; Twomey, L. and Valdes, L. M. (2003). Microbial indicators of aquatic ecosystem change: current applications to eutrophication studies: FEMS Microbiology Ecology 1: 233-46. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-6496\(03\)00200-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-6496(03)00200-9).
- Hossain, M. M. and Barman, A. A. (2016). Post-harvest quality loss of small indigenous fish species in sylhet region: ensure quality up to consumer level. *Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bangladesh, Science*, 42 (1), 115-125. <https://doi.org/10.3329/jasbs.v42i1.31755>.
- Imam H. A, A dil M. S, Eman M. H (2022) Risk Associate With *E. coli* in Marin Fish in Port Sudan, Red Sea State, Sudan Indonesian National Standard number 01-2332. 1. (Jakarta: National Standard Institute)
- Lobna, M. A. Salem, El- Newishy, A. A. (2010). Role of fish in transmitting some zoonotic bacteria causing food poisoning to man. *J. Egypt. Vet. Med. Association*, 70 (3): 289-301.
- Lunven, P. (1982). The Role of Fish in Human Nutrition. *FAO Food and Nutrition*, 8 (2): 9-18.)
- Lyhs, U. (2009) Microbiological methods. In Rehbein H, Oehlenschläger J. (ed.). *Fishery Products Quality, safety and authenticity*. Wiley Blackwell. 2009; 318-48.
- Mahmoudzadeh, M. Motallebi, A. A. Hosseini, H. Haratian, P. Ahmadi, H. Mohammadi, M. & Khaksar, R. (2010). Quality assessment of fish burgers from deep flounder (*Pseudorhombus elevatus*) and brushtooth lizardfish (*Saurida undosquamis*) during storage at -18°C. *Iranian Journal of Fisheries Sciences*. 9 (1) 111-126.
- Mhango, M. Mpuchane, S and, Mpuchane, B (2010). Incidence of indicator organisms, opportunistic and pathogenic bacteria in fish. *Afr. J. Food, Agric. Nutri. Dev.* 10 (10).
- Nanto, H., Sokooshi, H. and Kawai, T. (1993). Aluminium-doped ZnO thin film gas sensor capable of detecting freshness of sea foods. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical* v14 Iss 1-3, pp. 715-717.
- Noha, M. Gendy, E. L, Amr Amer, Hossam A. Ibrahim & Mahmoud Abou-Okada (2024) Microbiological quality assessment of *Clarias gariepinus*, *Bagrus bajad*, and *Pangasianodon hypophthalmus* filets. *Scientific Reports* 14: 13305 | Vol: (1234567890) <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-62730-8>
- Novotny, L., Dvorska, L., Lorencova, A., Beran, V. and Pavlik, I. (2004). Fish: a potential source of bacterial pathogen for human beings. *Veterinary Medicine of Czech*, 49 (9): 343-358. *York Sci. J.* 6 (2): 92-101.
- Ohalete, C. N, Dozie I. N. S, Obiajuru I. O. C, Ekeh I. H (2011). Studies on the ecology of *Salmonella* bacilli in Owerri metropolis Imo State, Nigeria. *GRJS* 1: 109-116.
- Obuz E, Dikeman M. E (2003). Effect of cooking beef muscle from frozen or thawed states on cooking traits palatability. *Meat Sci.* 65: 993-997.
- Oranusi S. U, Umoh V. J, Kwaga J. K. P (2003). Hazards and critical control points of kunun-zaki, a non-alcoholic beverage in Northern Nigeria. *Food Microbiol.* 20: 127-132.

- Russell Miget. (2004) The HACCP Sea food program and aquaculture. Southern Regional Aquaculture Center (SRAC) publication No. 4900, Texas A&M University.
- Sandon, H. and Amina al Tayib, (1953). The food of common Nile fish Susan Notes and records, 34 (2): 217.
- Shabarinath S, Kumar H. S, Khushiramani R, Karunasagar I and Karunasagar I (2007), Detection and characterization of *Salmonella* associated with tropical seafood, *International J Food Microbiology* 114: 227-233. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro>. 2006. 09. 012
- Scheleguedaa, L. I., Zalazara, A. L., Gliemmoa, M. F., Comosa, C. A. (2016). Inhibitory effect and cell damage on bacterial flora of fish caused by chitason, nisin and sodium lactate. *Int. J. Biol Macromol.*, vol. p. 306-402. Chao, K. K., Chao, C. C. and Chao,
- Suliman, H. H., (2002) Fisheries Recourse and its exploitations. A case study Khartoum state. M. sc. thesis. Dept of geography, Faculty of Art, University of Khartoum.
- Talwar, P. K. and J hingran, A. G (1991) Inland fishes of India and adjacent countries, Volume 2. A. A. Balkena, Rotterdam.
- Tawari, C. C and Abowei, J. F. N (2011). Traditional Economics of fish production in Kaduna State, fish handling and preservation in Nigeria. *Asian Nigeria. ARPN. Journal of Agricultural and Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 3 (6): 427-436.
- Tesfaye, s K. Misaw, C. Mersha, B. Baseazinew, and Zewudie, A (2018) "Preliminary survey of gram-negative bacterial pathogens from commonly caught fish species in Lake hayiq, Ethiopia fisheries and aquaculture," *Journal*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 1-7, 2018.
- Thatcher, F. S. and Clark, D. S. (1973). *Microorganisms in Food: Their Significance and Methods of Enumeration*. Buffalo, Toronto: University of Toronto Press. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.3138/j.ctvfrxj2c>.
- Tilahun, A and Engidawork, A. (2020), "Isolation, identification and antimicrobial susceptibility profile of *E. coli* (O157: H7) from fish in Lake Hawassa, southern Ethiopia," *Life Science Journal*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 64-72, 2020.
- Upadhyay, B., Utrarachkij, F, Thongshoob, J, Mahakunkijcharoen, Y, Wongchinda, N, Suthienkul, O. and Khusmith, S (2010). Detection of *Salmonella* inv A gene in shrimp enrichment culture by polymerase chain reaction. *Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine Public Health*, 41 (2): 426-435.
- USFDA (2007). *The Fish and Fisheries Products Hazards and Control Guidance*. Fourth edition, U. S. Food and Drug Administration Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition Office of Seafood.
- Wendwesen Teka, Dagmar Nölkes, Yitbarek Getachew and Matusala Mulachew (2015) Microbiological quality of frozen raw and undercooked Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fillets and food safety practices of fish handlers in Arba Minch town, SNNPR, Ethiopia *Journal of Veterinary Medicine and Animal health* Vol. 9 (3), pp55 -62 march 2017.
- Wyatt, L. E, Nickelson, R and Vanderzant C (1979), Occurrence and control of *Salmonella* in freshwater catfish, *J. food sci.* 44: 1067-1073. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.1979.tb03448.x>
- Yagoub, S. O., Ahmed, T. M. (2004). Pathogenic Microorganisms in fresh water samples collected from Khartoum Central Market. *Sudan J. Vet. Sci. Animal Husbandry*. 43 (1-2): 32-37.

How to cite this article:

Enas D. H., Maha M. M., Abdalla M. A., Ali A. S., Abderahman K. O. and Ramzy A. Yousif. 2026. Incidence of *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* Contamination in *Clarias lazera*, *Tetraodon fahaka* in Fish Marketing at Khartoum State. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci*. 15(6): 94-102. doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijemas.2026.1506.009>